UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America	
v.)	Case No: 3:93CR00216-003
VINCENT BEST	USM No: 10840-058
	Erin Taylor/Tanzania Cannon-Eckerle
	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	
	eviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
Criminal History Category:	(Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range: to months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ■ Other (explain): Amendment 706 provides no reduction for cocaine base amounts in excess of 4.5 kilograms or more and this case involves five to fifteen kilograms cocaine base. Application of Amendment 706, therefore, results in no change to the guideline range. 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	7/231/2006 shall remain in effect.
Order Date: July 9, 2009	Graham C. Mullen
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge